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## Frequentist vs. Bayesian

## Sigh...

When you ask the same question, you get the same answer

## Common statistical questions

$\square$ Am I confident this is a real signal?
■ I am confident I saw something, what was the real signal strength/level?

■ I didn't see anything, how faint/small must the signal have been for me not to see it?

Uncertainty on a Measurement

## Uncertainty on a measurement

- What if you have a high $\sigma$ detection, and now you want to know what the accuracy of your measurement is?


## Uncertainty on a measurement

## Example statistical question:

- If I performed the same measurement many times, what range of signal values would I observe?


## Uncertainty on a measurement

## Simulation approach

```
size = 10000;
noise = randn(1,size)*0.1;
signal = zeros(1,size);
signal(randi(numel(signal),[1,500])) = 2;
obssiganl = noise + signal;
```


## Uncertainty on a measurement



## Uncertainty on a measurement



## Uncertainty on a measurement

## Statistical question:

- If I performed the same measurement many times, what range of signal values would I observe?

Even if the signal strength is constant, we observe a range of measurements

## Uncertainty on a measurement

Turn statistical question around:

- If I measure a signal once, what range of true signal strengths could have given me the same observation?

Test with simulation of two input signals (2.0 \& 2.2)

## Uncertainty on a measurement



## An asside in math notation

Read as:

- Given a particular true signal, what is the probability of getting a particular data value?


## $P\left(\right.$ data $\mid$ signal $\left._{\mathrm{T}}\right)$

An asside in math notation

## $P\left(\right.$ data $\left.\mid \operatorname{signal}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$



## Two separate questions

A. If I performed the same measurement many times, what range of observed signal values (data) would I observe? $P\left(\right.$ data $\mid$ signal $\left._{\mathrm{T}}\right)$
B. If I measure a signal once (data), what is the probability of the true signal strengths? $P\left(\right.$ signal $_{\mathrm{T}} \mid$ data $)$

## Bayes' theorem

- Formally can change questions and calculate the desired $P\left(\right.$ signal $_{\mathrm{T}} \mid$ data $)$
- In practice must be used with great care

$$
P(s \mid d)=\frac{P(d \mid s) P(s)}{P(d)}
$$

Confidence interval

Simulated observations


## How to make

- Start with background (model or data)
- Inject fake signals of varying strength
- Measure observed signal
- Histogram true signal vs. observed signal

Simulated observations


## Slices



$$
P(d \mid s)
$$

## Slices



What if I measure data value $X$ and what to know what the range of true signals might be?


## Slices



$$
P(s \mid d)
$$

## Confidence interval



$9.88 \pm 2.0$


## Confidence interval

## $9.88 \pm 2.0$



- Best guess at the true signal
- $1 \sigma$ : " $68 \%$ of the time the true signal will be in this range"
- $2 \sigma$ " $95 \%$ of the time the true signal will be in this range"


## Visual Bayes Theorem

$$
P(s \mid d)=\frac{P(d \mid s) P(s)}{P(d)}
$$



Asymmetric, non-zero mean background

## Background




## You observe a signal of 14 , sketch $P(s \mid d)$



## Sketch

- $P(d \mid s=9.5)$
- $P(s \mid d=14)$



$\underbrace{9.5_{-4.1}^{+2.3}}$


## Reading a reported value

- $\pm$ indicates range of true signal $65 \%$ of the time $(1 \sigma)$ or $95 \%$ of the time $(2 \sigma)$
- $X \pm Y$ implies symmetric background distribution, usually implies Gaussian
- $X_{-y}^{+z}$ indicates asymmetric background distribution


## Upper limits

## Upper Limit

I didn't see anything significant...

- How faint must the signal have been for me not to see it?


## What is the statistical question?

- How faint must the signal have been for me not to see it?
- If it was brighter than $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}$ would have seen it $95 \%$ of the time


## Simulated observations



## Signal pdf()



## Simulated observations



## Simulated observations



## Signal you would have seen 95\% of the time



## Signal you would have seen 95\% of the time



Because I observed a value of 1.9 , I know I would have observed a signal of strength 6.4 $95 \%$ of the time. So my $95 \%$ upper limit is 6.4

## Putting it all together

## Determine $5 \sigma$ threshold

Do experiment
Below 5 $\downarrow$ Above $5 \sigma$


## Simulated observations



## Determine $5 \sigma$ threshold

Do experiment
Below 5 $\downarrow$ Above $5 \sigma$


## No formula

- Determine the question you want to ask in precise words; then convert to math


## Not yet questions:

- I've done $X$, what is the significance?
- What question do you want to ask?
- I have a background $\left(P\left(d \mid s_{T}\right)\right)$, what prior should I multiply by?
- Very dangerous, the Bayesian prior depends on the question you want to ask.

